

APPLICATION NO.

09/553,735

38396

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

04/20/2000

08/09/2005

7590

JOHN BRUCKNER, P.C.

5708 BACK BAY LANE

AUSTIN, TX 78739

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usnb.gov

BAYARD, EMMANUEL

PAPER NUMBER

	www.uspto.gov	
FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
Kishan Shenoi	9548-770	5543
	EXAM	INER

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	ŀ
ℴ	Y
0	١

Office Action Summary    Examiner   Emmanuel Bayard   2638   Art Unit   Emmanuel Bayard   2638   Art Unit   2638   Art U		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Emmanuel Bayard  2638  Period for Reply  A SHORTEND STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the period for reply specified across is less than thisty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thisty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified across is less than thisty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thisty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified across is less than thisty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thisty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified across is less than thisty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thisty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified across is less than thisty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thisty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified across is less than thisty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thisty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified across is less than thisty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thisty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified across is less than thisty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thisty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified across is less than thisty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thisty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period days are all the statutory of the	Office Action Commence	09/553,735	SHENOI, KISHAN		
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extension of term may be available under the processor of 3 CPR 1.13(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled  If the period for reply appedied above is less than thirty (30) days, an apy within the statutory minimum of hitrix (30) days will be considered stretch.  If the period for reply appedied above is less than thirty (30) days, an apy within the statutory minimum of hitrix (30) days will be considered stretch.  If the period for reply appedied above is less than thirty (30) days, an apy within the statutory minimum of hitrix (30) days will be considered stretch.  If the period for reply appedied above is less than thirty (30) days, an apy within the statutory minimum of hitrix (30) days will be considered stretch.  If the period for reply appedied above is less than the following and all days and a	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - and SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - and state six (s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is the state of					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(g). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fliad after 51X (6) MCRTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  It is a start of the provision of the provision of the communication of the communication of the provision of the					
1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 May 2005.  2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-7,9,10,12,14-16,18,20,21,23-31,33-40 and 42-62 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-7,9,10,12,14-16,18,20,21,23-31,33-40 and 42-62 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-7,9,10,12,14-16,18,20,21,23-31,33-40 and 42-62 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) are subjected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subjected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  cocepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  cocepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-7.9.10.12.14-16.18.20.21.23-31.33-40 and 42-62 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6 ☐ Claim(s) 1-7.9.10.12.14-16.18.20.21.23-31.33-40 and 42-62 is/are rejected.  7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-7.9.10.12.14-16.18.20.21.23-31.33-40 and 42-62 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6 ☐ Claim(s) 1-7.9.10.12.14-16.18.20.21.23-31.33-40 and 42-62 is/are rejected.  7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ma	av 2005.			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-7.9.10.12.14-16.18.20.21.23-31.33-40 and 42-62 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Notice of Intornal Patent Application (PTO-152)		<del></del>			
Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application May of request that any objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant drawing (s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-148) or pro/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· <u> </u>		secution as to the merits is		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,9,10,12,14-16,18,20,21,23-31,33-40 and 42-62 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 20 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No,  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  20 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  30 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No,  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  20 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  30 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1-7.9.10.12.14-16.18.20.21.23-31.33-40 and 42-62 is/are pending in the application.				
6) Claim(s) 1-7,9.10,12,14-16,18,20,21,23-31,33-40 and 42-62 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c)   None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  23 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)					
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6) Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,10,12,14-16,18,20,21,23-31,33-</u>	40 and 42-62 is/are rejected.			
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  11 Interview Summary (PTO-413)  12 Paper No(s)/Mail Date  13 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)					
9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All   b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  10   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date  21   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  30 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  30 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	_				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  *Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  **Topic Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	AM1				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		4) Intensiew Summan	(PTO-413)		
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P	ate		

Art Unit: 2638

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is in response to amendment filed on 5/31/05 in which claims 1-7, 9-10, 12, 14-16, 18, 20-21, 23-31, 33-40 and 42-62 are pending. The applicant's amendments have been fully considered but they are most based on the new ground of rejection therefore this case is made final. (see also Examiner response below).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 9-10, 12, 14-16, 18, 20-21, 23-31, 33-40 and 42-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naden et al U.S. Patent No 5,999,561 in view of Higuchi et al U.S. Patent No 5,914,943.

As per claims 1 and 23, Naden et al discloses a method for tracking CDMA pilot channel signal to discipline an oscillator comprising: down converting an RF signal from a center frequency Fr to an intermediate center frequency Fl (see figs. 9, 11 element 1104 and col.16, lines 45-46 and col.20, lines 9-111) where fl is greater than or equal to a CDMA chip rate Fc wherein down converting includes incorporated band pass filtering (see col.110, lines 59-63 and col.111, lines 33-35 and col.112, lines 7-13 and col.119, lines 23-27 ) to remove extraneous signals while passing said CDMA pilot channel signal; converting a signal format from analog to digital using a single analog to digital

Art Unit: 2638

converter (see figs. 9, 11 element 907 and col.16, line 47) employing a sampling rate of Fs to create a signal sampling signal Sn; employing correlation circuit (see figs.9, 11 element 911 and col.16, lines 65-67 and col.17, lines 21, 30-32 and col.20, lines 18-20) to establish a correlation between the Sn locally generated versions of I-channel and Q channel PN signals respectively; generating an estimating of an error generating an estimating of a frequency error (see col.10, lines 15-16, 43-55 and col.30, lines 58-60) of the oscillator using correlation values corresponding to (2M + 1) time shifts where a time shift of K corresponds to a time shift that provides the maximum correlation value (see col.32, lines 60-65 and col.33, lines 53-67) and M is greater or equal to 1; disciplining the oscillator using the estimate of the frequency error of the oscillator (see fig.32 and col.45, lines 35-52 and col.46, line 60-col.47, lines 1-25).

However Naden et al does not teach wherein correlation values between the digital signal and at least one locally generated version of I-channel and Q-channel PN signals are averaged over multiple periods of the PN (long codes) signals to improve a quality of pilot position estimation.

Higuchi teaches correlation values are averaged over plurality of intervals of long codes is the same as the claimed (wherein correlation values between the digital signal and at least one locally generated version of I-channel and Q-channel PN signals are averaged over multiple periods of the PN signals (long codes) to improve a quality of pilot position estimation (see figs.7, 13-15 col.4, lines 50-65 and col.5, lines 28-30, 65-67 and col.6, lines 25-28 and col.9, lines 20-30 and col.13, lines 5-10 and col.14, lines 20-50).

Art Unit: 2638

It would have been obvious tone of ordinary skill in the art the implement the teaching of Higuchi into Naden as to provide an higher estimation accuracy as recited by Higuchi (see col.14, lines 50-53).

As per claims 2-4, the method of Naden et al does include a sampling rate, Fs, an intermediate center frequency, fl, and a chip rate, fc (see col.110, lines 59-63 and col.111, lines 33-35 and col.112, lines 7-13 and col.119, lines 23-27).

As per claim 5, the method of Naden et al does include a single accumulator for generating both real and imaginary (see col.61, lines 25, 49).

As per claim 6, the method of Naden et al does include monitoring of both positive overflows and negative overflows (see col.60, lines 63-67 and col.61, lines 1-20).

As per claim 7, the method of the method of Naden et al does include correlation process instead of matched filter (see fig.9 element 911).

As per claims 9-10 and 13, the method of the method of Naden would include the correlation computation of time shift lags as to provide an higher estimation accuracy as recited by Higuchi (see col.14, lines 50-53).

As per claim 12, the method of the method of Naden et al would include background correlation as to provide an higher estimation accuracy as recited by Higuchi (see col.14, lines 50-53).

As per claim 14, Naden et al discloses an apparatus to track a pilot signal, comprising: a correlator circuit adapted to compute a complex correlation between a received version of the pilot signal and locally generated versions of I-channel and Q-

Art Unit: 2638

channel PN signals, respectively (see figs 9, 11 element 911 and col.16, lines 64-67 and col.17, lines 1-44 and col.20, lines 18-25); a signal processor circuit coupled to the correlator circuit (see fig.9 element 921 and col.17, lines 10-21); wherein the signal processor circuit disciplines the oscillator(see fig.32 element 3280 and col.45, lines 35-52 and col.46, line 60-col.47, lines 1-25).

However Naden et al does not teach wherein the signal processor circuit averages correlation values over multiple periods of the PN signals to improve a quality of pilot position estimation.

Higuchi teaches correlation values are averaged over plurality of intervals of long codes is the same as the claimed (correlation values are averaged over multiple periods of the PN signals) to improve a quality of pilot position estimation (see figs.7, 13-15 col.4, lines 50-65 and col.5, lines 28-30, 65-67 and col.6, lines 25-28 and col.9, lines 20-30 and col.13, lines 5-10 and col.14, lines 20-50).

It would have been obvious tone of ordinary skill in the art the implement the teaching of Higuchi into Naden as to provide an higher estimation accuracy as recited by Higuchi (see col.14, lines 50-53).

As per claim 15, the apparatus of Naden et al does include a buffer (see col.40, line 45). Note that a FPGA is known in the art as buffering device or a storage device.

Therefore the buffer of Naden et al is considered as a FPGA.

As per claim 16, the method of Naden et al does include a single accumulator for generating both real and imaginary (see col.61, lines 25, 49).

Art Unit: 2638

As per claims 17-18, the method of Naden et al does include a signal processor having a DSP (see col.45, line 37).

As per claim 19, the method of Naden et al does include a signal processor for averaging correlations values (col.16, lines 64-67 and col.17, lines 1-44 and col.20, lines 18-25).

As per claim 20, the method of Naden et al would include parallel correlator as to detect the timing of receiving the initial phase of each long code from the detection timings of the correlation peaks at uneven intervals.

As per claim 21, the method of Naden et al would include a background correlation as to detect the timing of the maximum squared sum of the initial phase of the long code as recited by Higuchi (see col.14, lines 10-13).

As per claims 24 and 34, Naden et al discloses a method for tracking a pilot channel to discipline an oscillator comprising: disciplining an oscillator (see figs.9, 11, 32 elements 927, 1110, 3250 and col.45, line 43 and col.46, line 65) including generating a spectrum shaped channel pilot signal (see col.1, line 16 and col.13, line 61) Y(n) from a chip-rate PN sequence by: over sampling (see col.28, lines 40-45 and col.49, lines 1-8 and col.55, lines 32-35); passing A(n) through a first FIR filter (see fig. 11 element 1116l and col.31, lines 1-3 and col.51, lines 11-15) whose impulse response coefficients are G(n) to yield a signal B(n); filtering B(n) with a second filter (see fig. 11 element 1116Q and col.31, lines 1-3 and col.51, lines 11-15) to yield the spectrum shaped channel pilot Y(n).

Art Unit: 2638

However Naden et al does not teach wherein correlation values are averaged over multiple periods of the PN signals to improve a quality of pilot position estimation.

Higuchi teaches correlation values are averaged over plurality of intervals of long codes is the same as the claimed (correlation values are averaged over multiple periods of the PN signals) to improve a quality of pilot position estimation (see figs.7, 13-15 col.4, lines 50-65 and col.5, lines 28-30, 65-67 and col.6, lines 25-28 and col.9, lines 20-30 and col.13, lines 5-10 and col.14, lines 20-50).

It would have been obvious tone of ordinary skill in the art the implement the teaching of Higuchi into Naden as to provide an higher estimation accuracy as recited by Higuchi (see col.14, lines 50-53).

As per claims 25, 27, the method of Naden et al does include I channel (see fig.11 element 1116l).

As per claim 26, the method of Naden et al does include monitoring of both positive overflows and negative overflows (see col.60, lines 63-67 and col.61, lines 1-20)

As per claim 28, the method of Naden et al does include base band signal (see col.16, line 50)).

As per claim 29, the method of Naden et al does include VXCO that is a phase locked to a reference frequency (see fig.9).

As per claim 30, the method of Naden et al does include a correlation (fig.9 element 911).

Art Unit: 2638

As per claim 31, the method of Naden et al would include a matched filter as to eliminate noise in the initial phase of the receiving signal.

As per claim 33, the method of Naden et al does include I channel pilot (see fig.11 element 1116I).

As per claim 35, the method of Naden et al does include a FPGA (see col.40, line 45).

As per claims 36-37, the method of Naden et al does include a signal processor having a DSP (see col.45, line 37).

As per claim 38, the method of Naden et al does include an A/D converter (see fig.9 element 907).

As per claim 39, the method of Naden et al would include a 4-point FIR filter as to eliminate noise in the initial phase of the receiving signal.

As per claim 40, the method of Naden et al would include a 4-point FIR filter therefore a 48-point FIR filter as to eliminate noise in the initial phase of the receiving signal.

As per claim 42, the method of Naden et al would include a background correlator as to provide an higher estimation accuracy as recited by Higuchi (see col.14, lines 50-53).

As per claims 43, 53, 55-58 Naden would include an apparatus operated in parallel to track multiple pilots as to detect the timing of the maximum squared sum of the initial phase of the long code.

Application/Control Number: 09/553,735 Page 9

Art Unit: 2638

As per claims 44-47, Naden and Higuchi in combination would include an averaging CMS as to provide an higher estimation accuracy as recited by Higuchi (see col.14, lines 50-53).

As per claims 48-52, Naden and Higuchi in combination would include an offset synthesizer to improve precision of an estimate of time arrival of received signal as to provide an higher estimation accuracy as recited by Higuchi (see col.14, lines 50-53).

As per claim 54, Naden does include an oscillator (see fig.32 element 3250 and col.45, lines 43-45). Furthermore implementing such oscillation to track multiple pilots would have been obvious to one skilled in the art as to detect the timing of the maximum squared sum of the initial phase of the long code.

As per 59-60 and 62, Naden does include different I and Q PN Channels (see fig.9 and col.9, lines 46-48 and col.20, lines 15-23).

As per claim 61, Naden does include an oscillator (see fig.32 element 3250 and col.45, lines 43-45). Furthermore implementing such oscillation to generate another spectrum shaped channel pilot would have been obvious to one skill in the art as to detect the timing of the maximum squared sum of the initial phase of the long code.

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7,9-10, 12,14-16, 18, 20-21, 23-31,33-40 and 42-62 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/553,735 Page 10

Art Unit: 2638

### Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel Bayard whose telephone number is 571 272 3016. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:Am-4:30PM) Alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vanderpuye Kenneth can be reached on 571 272 3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Emmanuel Bayard Primary Examiner Art Unit 2638

8/5/05

EMMANUEL BAYARD PRIMARY EXAMINER